arXiv:1207.3627v1 [math-ph] 16 Jul 2012

A second order differential equation for a point charged particle

July 17, 2012

Ricardo Gallego Torromé
Departamento de Matemática
Universidade de São Paulo [1]

Abstract

A model for the dynamical evolution of a point charged particle interacting with higher order fields is described by an ordinary second order implicit differential equation. We show that such equation is free of run-away and pre-accelerated solutions of Dirac's type. The theory is Lorentz invariant, causal, and compatible with Newton's first law and energy-momentum conservation. However, it is not a local theory in the usual sense. In our model for point charged particles, covariant uniform acceleration motion is unstable and must be understood as an approximation.

1 Introduction

It is well-known the existence of fundamental problems in the electrodynamics of point charged particles interacting with its own radiation reaction field [15, 18, 21]. Fundamental investigations of the problem lead to the Lorentz-Dirac equation [8], that although based on basic assumptions, the Lorentz-Dirac equation is plagued of non-physical solutions.

Some attempts to solve such problems range from reduction of order schemes for the Lorentz-Dirac equation [16, 14], re-normalization group analysis [20, 21], fluid dynamic models [3], Feynman-Wheeler absorber theory of electrodynamics [9], extended bodies theories [22] or higher order derivative field theories [2, 17], among other theories proposed.

We think that the description of the radiation reaction of point charged particles must be considered from a new perspective. Our justification for seeking new ideas to solve the above problems relies on the fact that we think that the notion of field is physical meaningful (this excludes Feynman-Wheeler theory), that one should not base a fundamental theory like classical electrodynamics of point charged particles on a model like Lorentz-Dirac differential equation which is unacceptable because of the physical consequences that it implies (this excludes reduction of order theories) and on the idea that a point charged particle is a simple and nice model for elementary particles (despite the problems of infinite fields that this model has).

---

[1] email: rgallegot@gmx.de; Work financially supported by FAPESP, process 2010/11934-6.

We seek for an alternative solution to the problem based on the simple model of a classical point charged particle and the fundamental principles of causality, covariance and energy-momentum conservation and locality is desirable. However, Dirac proved (and corroborated by many others) that under such hypothesis, the simplest dynamical equation must be the Lorentz-Dirac equation. Therefore, one needs to *relax* some of the assumptions or adopting a more complicated model of point charged particle, that still do not guarantee internal consistency. We choose to relax the notion of electromagnetic field and consider a theory with *generalized higher order electromagnetic fields*[2], a notion that we also explain in this paper.

The notion of generalized higher order field that we use can be justified as follows. The standard definition of *classical field* as a section of a vector bundle over a manifold M presupposes its independence of the probe particle testing the field. However, we have learnt that such assumption can fail when dealing with atomic and subatomic systems, because those systems are fundamentally perturbed by the action of measurements. In a similar way, we can imagine that a notion of objective classical electromagnetic field (that is, a closed two form field living on the spacetime manifold M and independent of the probing particle) can fail to describe the effect of the dynamics of the probing charged particle. This happens if the effect of the radiation reaction is of a comparable magnitude than the electromagnetic field that we are measuring. Therefore, a notion of classical field that accommodates the existence of probing charged point particles is useful for a better understanding of feedback phenomena and in particular for radiation reaction in classical electrodynamics.

In this paper we describe a differential equation for point charged particles that is free of the problems of the Lorentz-Dirac equation. Among other standard principles, the derivation is also based in two new ideas: the notion of *generalized higher order fields* applied to the case of electromagnetic fields, and *maximal acceleration geometry* theory [11]. With the notion of generalized higher order fields on hand it is possible to eliminate the *Schott term* and other higher order than two derivatives from the equation of motion, using additional degrees of freedom from the higher order field.

The notion of higher order field is not enough to provide an appropriated dynamical description of a point charged particle dynamics. However, we will show that using in addition using maximal acceleration geometries, one can obtain a model of generalized higher order electromagnetic fields compatible with the power radiation law of a point charged particle. Finally, imposing that the dynamical equation must be of second order, we obtain equation (5.6). Such equation is compatible with first Newton's law, it does not have un-physical solutions that plague the Lorentz-Dirac equation and is compatible with energy-momentum conservation.

The procedure to obtain the equation (5.6) breaks down in two situations. The first corresponds to trajectories of *maximal acceleration.* The second case corresponds to *covariant uniform acceleration* (that includes the first one but is qualitatively different). In the case of covariant uniform acceleration, a similar analysis as in the non uniform case, led us to a differential equation which is a Lorentz force equation with a *constant total electromagnetic field* [10]. However, covariant uniform motion of point charged particles is *unstable*: in order to compensate the radiation reaction field and provide a total constant force, it is required an infinite precision in the calibration of the external fields.

---

[2] For a more detailed mathematical description of the theory of generalized higher order fields, see the related work [13].

This paper explores the physical aspects of the equation (5.6) as dynamical equation for a point charged particle. The mathematical theory of generalized fields and differential forms, the fundamental elements of the geometry of maximal acceleration, as well as a mathematical theory of *generalized higher order electrodynamics* (not confuse with higher order derivatives theory like Bopp-Podolsky theory [2, 17] are presented elsewhere.

Notation. Through this paper M is a smooth manifold of dimension 4, TM is the tangent bundle, $N := TM\setminus\{0\}$ is the slit tangent bundle and η is the Minkowski metric with signature $(-1,1,1,1)$. Coordinates on M are denoted by (x^0,x^1,x^2,x^3) or simply by x^μ. We will identify points by its coordinates, since we are working on homeomorphic domains to R^4. Natural coordinates on TM and N are denoted as (x,y). Further notation will be introduced along the paper.

2 Notion of generalized higher order fields

2.1 Jet bundles

Jet theory is a natural framework for the study of the geometry of ordinary and partial differential equations (for a introduction to jet theory with applications in field theory see for instance [7]). Given a smooth curve $x : I \to M$, the set of derivatives $(p = x(0), \frac{dx}{d\sigma}, \frac{d^2x}{d\sigma^2}, ..., \frac{d^kx}{d\sigma^k})$ determines a point in the space of the jet $J^k_0(p)$ over the point $p \in M$,

$$J^k_0(p) := \left\{ (x(0), \frac{dx}{d\sigma}|_0, ..., \frac{d^kx}{d\sigma^k}|_0), \; \forall \mathcal{C}^k - \text{curve } \; x : I \to M, \, x(0) = p \in M, \, 0 \in I \right\}.$$

The jet bundle $J^k_0(M)$ over M is the disjoint union

$$J^k_0(M) := \bigsqcup_{x \in M} J^k_0(x).$$

The projection map is

$$^k\pi : J^k_0(M) \to M, \quad (x(0), \frac{dx}{d\sigma}\Big|_0, \frac{d^2x}{d\sigma^2}\Big|_0, ..., \frac{d^kx}{d\sigma^k}\Big|_0) \mapsto x(0).$$

Given a curve $x : I \to M$, the k-lift is the curve $^kx : I \to J^k_0(M)$ such that the following diagram commutes,

$$\begin{tikzcd} & J^k_0(M) \arrow[d, "^k\pi"] \\ I \arrow[ur, "^kx"] \arrow[r, "x"'] & M. \end{tikzcd}$$

Each point on the lifted curve $^kx : I \to J^k_0(M)$ has local coordinates given by $(x(\sigma), \frac{dx(\sigma)}{d\sigma}, ..., \frac{d^kx(\sigma)}{d\sigma^k})$, where σ is the parameter of the curves $x : I \to M$ and $^kx : I \to J^k_0(M)$.

2.2 Notion of generalized field

In order to determine local properties (that is what one needs to write down a differential equation for point charged particles), we only need local expressions for the generalized higher order fields and to check that the equations are invariant under coordinate transformations. Let (M, η) be the Minkowski space.

Definition 2.1 *The electromagnetic field* $\bar{F}$ *along the lift* ${}^k x$ *is a* 2*-form that in local natural coordinates is*

$$\bar{F}({}^k x) = \bar{F}(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \dddot{x}, ...) = \big(F_{\mu\nu}(x) + \Upsilon_{\mu\nu}(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \dddot{x}, ...)\big) dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu, \tag{2.1}$$

with $F(x) \in \Gamma\Lambda^2 M$*. The excitation tensor along the lift* ${}^k x$ *is the* 2*-form*

$$\bar{G}({}^k x) = \bar{G}(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \dddot{x}, ...) = \big(G_{\mu\nu}(x) + \Xi_{\mu\nu}(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \dddot{x}, ...)\big) dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu. \tag{2.2}$$

The density current in electrodynamics is represented by a 3*-form*

$$\bar{J}(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \dddot{x}, ...) = \big(J_{\mu\nu\rho}(x) + \Phi_{\mu\nu\rho}(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \dddot{x}, ...)\big)\, dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu \wedge dx^\rho. \tag{2.3}$$

When acting on vector fields over M, generalized forms produce functions on $\mathcal{F}(J_0^k(M))$. It can be shown that one can construct a Cartan calculus for such generalized forms in a similar way as the standard Cartan calculus of smooth differential forms. For instance, there is a coordinate free definition of the operator d_4. The realization in local coordinates of the operator d_4 is straightforward. For instance, if ϕ is a generalized k-form, its exterior derivative is

$$\begin{aligned} d_4\phi &= d_4\big(\phi_i(x(s),\, \dot{x},\, \ddot{x}, ..., x^{(k)})\big) \\ &= d\big(\phi_i(x(s),\, \dot{x},\, \ddot{x}, ..., x^{(k)})\big) \\ &= \partial_j\, \phi_i(x(s),\, \dot{x},\, \ddot{x}, ..., x^{(k)})\, d_4 x^j \, \wedge d_4 x^i. \end{aligned}$$

With the aid of the nill-potent *exterior derivative* d_4 and the Hodge star operator $\star$ defined by η, one can write in complete analogy with the Maxwell's equations the following homogeneous and non-homogeneous equations. For the homogeneous equations one has

$$d_4 \bar{F} = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Let us assume the simplest constitutive relation $\bar{G} = \star\bar{F}$. Then the inhomogeneous Maxwell's equations are

$$d_4 \star \bar{F} = \varphi(J) + d_4 \star \Upsilon. \tag{2.5}$$

Using some constrains on Υ coming from compatibility with the equation of motion of point charged particles, one can obtain an effective theory which is equivalent to Maxwell theory. The homogeneous equations are

$$dF = 0. \tag{2.6}$$

Similarly, the non-homogeneous equations are

$$d \star F = J, \tag{2.7}$$

and the conservation of the current density

$$dJ = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

Therefore, one has an *effective theory* which is formally the standard Maxwell's theory. However, because the fields are originally in higher order spaces, there is still freedom to define a consistent particle dynamics.

Generalized higher order fields can be physically interpreted as follows. The ideal way to measure an electromagnetic field is through its effects on probe point particles. Usually the field is defined at the given point $x \in M$, independently of the probing particle. This model idea works if the probe charged particle does not perturbs considerably the field $F(x)$. The situation changes if this is not the case, when the probe particle reaction field changes in sufficient amount the value of the field at the given point x. In this sense, the notion of a field living on M fails, since it is not physically observable. A generalized higher order field depends also on the probing particle trajectory, leading to fields $\bar{F}({}^{k}x)$ that depends on the probe particle curve. On the other hand, the flux of a field across a surface element $A_{\mu\nu}$ is measured by a standard macroscopic device. This is imprinted in our mathematical description by the fact that generalized higher order fields are forms acting on tangent vectors $e_\mu(x), e_\nu(x)\Gamma TM$ defining the surface element $A_{\mu\nu}$.

3 Maximal acceleration geometry

Let $D_{\dot{x}}$ be the covariant derivative in the direction $\dot{x}$ associated with the Levi-Civita connection of the metric η. It induces a Levi-Civita connection $\gamma^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho}$ on M and a non-linear connection on the bundle $\pi : TM \setminus NC \to M$ whose coefficients are given by

$$N^{\mu}{}_{\nu}(x,y) = \gamma^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho}\, y^{\rho}, \tag{3.1}$$

with $\gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\rho}$ the Christoffel symbols and $\pi : NC \to M$ the null-cone bundle. The inducing vertical forms are

$$\{\delta y^{\mu} = dy^{\mu} + N^{\mu}{}_{\nu} dx^{\nu},\ \mu,\nu = 0,1,2,3\}.$$

The covariant acceleration vector field of the curve $x : I \to M$ is given by $D_{\dot{x}}\dot{x}$,

$$\big(D_{\dot{x}}\dot{x}\big)^{m} u := \ddot{x}^{\mu} + \gamma^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho}\dot{x}^{\nu}\dot{x}^{\rho}. \tag{3.2}$$

3.1 Elements of covariant maximal acceleration

The covariant formalism for geometries of maximal acceleration that we use is developed in [11]. The Sasaki-type metric on the bundle $TM \setminus NC$ is the pseudo-Riemannian metric

$$g_S = \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} \otimes dx^{\nu} + \frac{1}{A_{max}^{2}} \eta_{\mu\nu} \Big(\delta y^{\mu} \otimes \delta y^{\nu}\Big). \tag{3.3}$$

Let us consider a timelike curve $x : I \to M$, parameterized such that for the lifted vectors $g_S({}^{1}\dot{x}, {}^{1}\dot{x}) = -1$. The metric (3.3) induces a bilinear, non-degenerate, symmetric form g along the lift ${}^{1}x : I \to TM$ [11],

Theorem 3.1 *There is a bilinear, non-degenerate, symmetric form g along the lifted curve ${}^1x : I \to M$, such for the corresponding horizontal lifted vector ${}^h\dot{x}$ conformaly equivalent to η,*

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x(\tau)) = \Big(1 - \frac{\eta_{\sigma\lambda}\, D_{\dot{x}}\, \dot{x}^{\sigma}(\tau) D_{\dot{x}}\, \dot{x}^{\lambda}(\tau)}{A^2_{max}}\Big)\, \eta_{\mu\nu}. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. The tangent vector of the lift $(x(\tau), \dot{x}(\tau))$ is $(\dot{x}, \ddot{x})$. The metric g_S acting on the vector field $T = (\dot{x}, \ddot{x})$ at the point $(x(\tau), \dot{x}(\tau))$ has the value

$$\begin{aligned} g_S(T,T) &= \Big(\eta_{\mu\nu}\, dx^{\mu} \otimes dx^{\nu} + \frac{1}{A^2_{max}}\eta_{\mu\nu}\Big(\delta y^{\mu} \otimes \delta y^{\nu}\Big)\Big)\,(T,T) \\ &= \Big(1 - \frac{1}{A^2_{max}}\eta_{\mu\nu}\, D_{\dot{x}}\dot{x}^{\mu}\, D_{\dot{x}}\, \dot{x}^{\nu}\Big), \end{aligned}$$

which coincides with the value $(g_{\mu\nu}\, dx^{\mu} \otimes dx^{\nu})(\dot{x}, \dot{x})$, with $g_{\mu\nu}$ given by (3.4). □

There is the following isometry,

$$g_S({}^hX_1, {}^hX_2) = g(X_1, X_2), \tag{3.5}$$

for any $X_1, X_2 \in \Gamma TM$.

The *generalized metric g* will be called metric of maximal acceleration, because of the following property,

Proposition 3.2 *If $g(\dot{x}, \dot{x}) < 0$, then $\eta(D_{\dot{x}}\, \dot{x},\, D_{\dot{x}}\, \dot{x}) \;<\; A^2_{max}$.*

Proof. From the expression (3.4) and since g is non-degenerate, the factor

$$\big(1 - \frac{\eta_{\sigma\lambda}\, D_{\dot{x}}\, \dot{x}^{\sigma}(\tau) D_{\dot{x}}\, \dot{x}^{\lambda}(\tau)}{A^2_{max}}\big)$$

cannot be zero. Therefore, if $g(\dot{x}, \dot{x}) < 0$, then one has that $\eta(D_{\dot{x}}\, \dot{x},\, D_{\dot{x}}\, \dot{x}) \;<\; A^2_{max}$. □

Since the metric η is flat, there is a local coordinate system where the connection coefficients $\gamma^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho}$ are zero (normal coordinate system). In such coordinate system $\gamma^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho} = 0$ and therefore $N^{\mu}{}_{\nu} = 0$ and the bilinear form (3.4) reduces to

$$g(\tau) = \Big(1 - \frac{\eta_{\sigma\lambda}\, \ddot{x}^{\sigma}(\tau)\ddot{x}^{\lambda}(\tau)}{A^2_{max}}\Big)\, \eta_{\mu\nu}\, dx^{\mu} \otimes dx^{\nu}. \tag{3.6}$$

In our considerations below we will use normal coordinate system associated with the metric η. This is to avoid cluttering and because we are essentially disregarding gravitational effects.

3.2 Perturbation scheme

Let us consider a normal coordinate system associated with the Minkowski metric η. Let us denote by τ the proper-time parameter along a given curve respect to g,

$$\tau[x] = \int_{t_0}^{t} \sqrt{-g(x'(t), x'(t))}\, dt. \tag{3.7}$$

We parameterize each world-line by the corresponding proper time τ associated to g. The acceleration square function is defined to be

$$a^2(\tau) := \eta_{\mu\rho}\,\ddot{x}^\mu\,\ddot{x}^\rho. \tag{3.8}$$

Then the function ϵ is defined in a normal coordinate system by the relation

$$\epsilon(\tau) = \big(\frac{\eta_{\sigma\lambda}\,\ddot{x}^\sigma(\tau)\ddot{x}^\lambda(\tau)}{A^2_{max}}\big)\,. \tag{3.9}$$

and in an arbitrary coordinate system by

$$\epsilon(\tau) := \big(\frac{\eta(D_{\dot{x}}\dot{x}, D_{\dot{x}}\dot{x})}{A^2_{max}}\big). \tag{3.10}$$

The relation between g and η is

$$g(\tau) = (1-\epsilon(\tau))\eta. \tag{3.11}$$

$\epsilon(\tau)$ determines a bookkeeping parameter ϵ_0 by the relation $\epsilon(\tau) = \epsilon_0\,h(\tau)$, where

$$\epsilon_0 = \max\{\,\epsilon(\tau),\ \tau\in I\}. \tag{3.12}$$

For compact curves, this definition always make sense. However, we will need to bound higher order derivatives in order to keep such parameter bound for non compact curves. Therefore, we can speak of asymptotic expansions on powers of $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^l_0)$, with the basis for asymptotic expansions being $\{\epsilon^l_0,\, l=-\infty,...,-1,0,1,...,+\infty\}$.

Let us consider a normal coordinate system for η. We assume that the dynamics happens in a regime such that

$$a^2(\tau) \ll A^2_{max}. \tag{3.13}$$

The curves $X: I\to M$ such that $a^2(\tau) = A^2_{max}$ are *curves of maximal acceleration*. We assumed that all the derivatives $(\epsilon, \dot{\epsilon},\ \ddot{\epsilon}, ...)$ are also small. This is the way to stay away from the curves of maximal acceleration.

The metric g defines different kinematical relations than η. If the parametrization of the world-line is such that $g(\dot{x},\dot{x}) = -1$ and the monomials in powers of the derivatives of ϵ define a complete generator set for asymptotic expansions.

In a maximal geometry space, the kinematical constrains are

$$g(\dot{x},\dot{x}) = -1, \tag{3.14}$$

$$g(\dot{x},\ddot{x}) = \frac{\dot{\epsilon}}{2}\eta(\dot{x},\dot{x}), \tag{3.15}$$

$$g(\dddot{x}^\rho\,\dot{x}) + g(\ddot{x},\ddot{x}) = \frac{d}{d\tau}\Big(\frac{\dot{\epsilon}\eta(\dot{x},\dot{x})}{2}\Big) + \dot{\epsilon}\eta(\ddot{x},\dot{x}) \tag{3.16}$$

and similar conditions for higher derivatives obtained by derivation of the previous ones. Therefore, in a geometry of maximal acceleration (M,g), given the normalization $g(\dot{x},\dot{x}) = -1$, the following approximate expressions hold:

$$\dot{x}^\rho\,\dot{x}_\rho := g_{\mu\rho}\,\dot{x}^\mu\dot{x}^\rho = -1, \tag{3.17}$$

$$\ddot{x}^\rho\,\dot{x}_\rho := g_{\mu\rho}\,\ddot{x}^\mu\dot{x}^\rho = -\frac{\dot{\epsilon}}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2_0), \tag{3.18}$$

$$\dddot{x}^\rho\,\dot{x}_\rho + \ddot{x}^\rho\,\ddot{x}_\rho = g_{\mu\rho}\,\dddot{x}^\mu\dot{x}^\rho + g_{\mu\rho}\,\ddot{x}^\mu\ddot{x}^\rho = \frac{d}{d\tau}\Big(\frac{\dot{\epsilon}\eta(\dot{x},\ddot{x})}{2}\Big) + \dot{\epsilon} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2_0). \tag{3.19}$$

4 A simple derivation of the Lorentz-Dirac force equation

4.1 Rohrlich deduction of Lorentz-Dirac equation

Let us assume that the spacetime is the Minkowski spacetime (M, η). In a normal coordinate system of η, the Lorentz-Dirac equation is the third order differential equation

$$m\,\ddot{x}^\mu = eF^\mu{}_\nu\,\dot{x}^\nu + \frac{2}{3}e^2\big(\dddot{x}^\mu - (\ddot{x}^\rho\,\ddot{x}_\rho)\dot{x}^\mu\big), \quad \ddot{x}^\mu\ddot{x}_\mu := \ddot{x}^\mu\ddot{x}^\sigma\eta_{\mu\sigma}. \tag{4.1}$$

It has run-away and pre-accelerated solutions [8], both against what is observed in everyday experience and in contradiction with the first Newton's law of classical dynamics.

We present a simple derivation of the Lorentz-Dirac equation (4.1). This derivation is what we have called *Rohrlich's argument* [19]. It illustrates method Cartan's method [4], that we will use later in the contest of generalized higher order fields in combination with maximal acceleration geometry. One starts with the Lorentz force equation for a point particle interacting with an electromagnetic field $F_{\mu\nu}$,

$$m_b\,\ddot{x}^\mu \;=\; eF_{\mu\nu}\,\dot{x}^\nu, \tag{4.2}$$

where m_b is the *bare mass* and e the electric charge of the particle. Note that at this stage the bare mass is finite. Note that both sides are consistently orthogonal to $\dot{x}$. In order to generalize the equation to take into account the radiation reaction, one can add to the right hand side of (4.2) a vector field Z along the curve $x : R \to \mathbf{M}$. The orthogonality condition $\eta(Z(\tau), \dot{z}(\tau)) = 0$ implies the following general expression for Z,

$$Z^\mu(\tau) = P^\mu{}_\nu(\tau)\big(a_1\dot{x}^\nu(\tau) + a_2\,\ddot{x}^\nu(\tau) + a_3\,\dddot{x}^\nu\big), \quad P_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \dot{x}_\mu(\tau)\dot{x}_\nu(\tau), \quad \dot{x}_\mu = \eta_{\mu\nu}\,\dot{x}^\nu. \tag{4.3}$$

We can prescribe $a_1 = 0$. Then using the kinematical relation $\dddot{x}^\rho\,\dot{x}^\sigma\eta_{\rho\sigma} \;=\; -\ddot{x}^\rho\,\ddot{x}^\sigma\eta_{\rho\sigma}$, one obtains the relation

$$Z^\mu(\tau) = \; a_2\ddot{x}^\mu(\tau) + \; a_3(\dddot{x}^\mu \; - (\ddot{x}^\rho\,\ddot{x}^\sigma\eta_{\rho\sigma})\,\dot{x}^\mu)(\tau).$$

The term $a_2\ddot{x}$ combines with the left hand side to *renormalize* the *bare mass*,

$$(m_b - a_2)\ddot{x}^\mu \;=\; m\ddot{x}^\mu. \tag{4.4}$$

The argument from Rohrlich follows by realizing that in order to obtain the Lorentz-Dirac equation one needs

$$a_3 = 2/3\,e^2.$$

The same equation is obtained if instead of searching for a term containing the whole piece

$$a_3(\dddot{x}^\mu(\tau) \; - (\ddot{x}^\rho\,\ddot{x}^\sigma\eta_{\rho\sigma})\,\dot{x}^\mu)(\tau),$$

one requirers right hand side is compatible with the relativistic power radiation formula [15, 19],

$$\dot{P}^{\mu}_{rad}(\tau) = -\frac{2}{3}\, e^2 (\ddot{x}^{\rho}\, \ddot{x}^{\sigma} \eta_{\rho\sigma})(\tau)\, \dot{x}^{\mu}(\tau). \tag{4.5}$$

In order to recover this relation, the minimal piece required in the equation of motion of a charged particle is $-2/3e^2(\ddot{x}^{\rho}\, \ddot{x}^{\rho} \eta_{\rho\sigma})\dot{x}^{\mu}$. The Schott term $\frac{2}{3}\, e^2 \dddot{x}$ is a total derivative. It does not contribute to the averaged power emission of radiation. However, in the above argument, the radiation reaction term and the Schott term are necessary, due to the kinematical constraints of the metric η. Note that the above derivation is consistent with generalized fields with values on $\mathcal{F}(J^3_0(M))$.

4.2 Rohrlich's derivation of the Lorentz-Dirac equation with maximal acceleration

Using the kinematical constraints for metrics of maximal acceleration, we can repeat Rohrlich's argument. There is a small modification caused the bound in the acceleration. Repeating the procedure, one obtains

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{2}{3}\, e^2 \ddot{x}^{\rho}\, \ddot{x}_{\rho}, \quad \lambda_2 = 0, \quad \lambda_3 = \frac{2}{3}\, e^2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon_0), \quad \lambda_k = 0, \quad \forall k \geq 4. \tag{4.6}$$

The corresponding modified Lorentz-Dirac equation is

$$m\, \ddot{x}^{\mu} = eF^{\mu}{}_{\nu}\, \dot{x}^{\nu} + \frac{2}{3} e^2 \left(\dddot{x}^{\mu} - (\ddot{x}^{\rho}\, \ddot{x}^{\sigma} \eta_{\rho\sigma}) \dot{x}^{\mu} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon_0), \tag{4.7}$$

with $F^{\mu}{}_{\nu} := \eta^{\mu\rho} F_{\rho\sigma}$. This equation is formally identical to the Lorentz-Dirac equation. This fact proves that only maximal acceleration hypothesis is not enough to solve the *problem* of the Schott term in the Lorentz-Dirac equation.

The same holds if we repeat the calculation in the framework of generalized higher order fields. Without the requirement of bounded acceleration one does not obtain a second order differential equation. In that case, one obtains again a differential equation that is formally the same than the Lorentz-Dirac equation. However, we will see in next *section* that if one combines maximal acceleration geometry with generalized higher order fields, it is possible to obtain a second order differential equation for point charged particles.

5 A second order differential equation for point charged particles

Let us assume that the physical trajectory of a point charged particle is a smooth curve of class $\mathcal{C}^k$ such that $g(\dot{x}, \dot{x}) = -1$, $\dot{x}^0 > 0$ and such that the acceleration field is bounded from above. Using the generalized tensor fields one obtains the following general form for the differential equation,

$$\Upsilon_{\mu\nu}(x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \ddot{x}, ...) = B_{\mu}\dot{x}_{\nu} - B_{\nu}\dot{x}_{\mu} + C_{\mu}\dot{x}_{\nu} - C_{\nu}\dot{x}_{\mu} + D_{\mu}\dot{x}_{\nu} - D_{\nu}\dot{x}_{\mu} + ... \quad \dot{x}_{\mu} = g_{\mu\nu}\, \dot{x}^{\nu}.$$

Now we use the hypothesis that to first order on ϵ_0, Rohrlich's argument implies the Lorentz-Dirac equation[3]. This implies that we will have the expression

$$\begin{aligned} m_b\, \ddot{x}^\mu = &\; e\, F^\mu{}_\nu + \big(B^\mu \dot{x}_\nu - \dot{x}^\mu B_\nu\big)\dot{x}^\nu \\ & + \big(C^\mu \ddot{x}_\nu - \ddot{x}^\mu C_\nu\big)\dot{x}^\nu + \big(D^\mu \dddot{x}_\nu - \dddot{x}^\mu D_\nu\big)\dot{x}^\nu + ..., \end{aligned}$$

and with $F^\mu{}_\nu := g^{\mu\rho} F_{\rho\sigma} = \eta^{\mu\rho} F_{\rho\sigma}$. On the right hand side of the above expression all the contractions that appear in expressions like $\big(B^\mu \dot{x}_\nu - \dot{x}^\mu B_\nu\big)\dot{x}^\nu$, etc, are performed with the metric g instead of the Minkowski metric η. The term $\delta m\, \ddot{x}^\mu$ corresponds to the electrostatic mass due to the Coulomb field [15].The other terms come from the higher order terms of the expression (2.1) of the electromagnetic field.

The general form of a k-jet along a smooth curve $x : R \to M$ implies the relations

$$\begin{aligned} B^\mu(s) &= \beta_1\, \dot{x}^\mu(s) + \beta_2\, \ddot{x}^\mu(s) + \beta_3\, \dddot{x}^\mu(s) + \beta_4\, \ddddot{x}^\mu(s) + \cdots, \\ C^\mu(s) &= \gamma_1\, \dot{x}^\mu(s) + \gamma_2\, \ddot{x}^\mu(s) + \gamma_3\, \dddot{x}^\mu(s) + \gamma_4\, \ddddot{x}^\mu(s) + \cdots, \\ D^\mu(s) &= \delta_1\, \dot{x}^\mu(s) + \delta_2\, \ddot{x}^\mu(s) + \delta_3\, \dddot{x}^\mu(s) + \delta_4\, \ddddot{x}^\mu(s) + \cdots. \end{aligned}$$

Let us assume that there are not derivatives higher than 2 in the differential equation of a point charged particle. One way to achieve this is to impose that all the coefficients for higher derivations equal to zero, $\beta_k = \gamma_k = \delta_k = 0,\ k \geq 3$. With this choice and using the kinetic relations for g, one obtains the expression

$$m_b\, \ddot{x}^\mu = eF^\mu{}_\nu \dot{x}^\nu - \beta_2 \ddot{x}^\mu + \beta_3\, \dddot{x}^\mu - \frac{1}{2}\beta_2\, \dot{\epsilon}\, \dot{x}^\mu - \beta_3\, (-a^2(\tau) + \dddot{\epsilon})\dot{x}^\mu. \tag{5.1}$$

We impose the condition that the differential equation governing the motion of a charge particle must be of second order and compatible with power radiation formula (4.5). Therefore, if $\dot{\epsilon}(\tau)$ is different than zero, one obtains the relations

$$\beta_2 = +\frac{4}{3} e^2\, a^2(s)\, \frac{1}{\dot{\epsilon}}, \tag{5.2}$$

$$\beta_k = 0,\ \forall k \geq 3. \tag{5.3}$$

Considering the leading terms in ϵ_0 we obtain the differential equation for a charged particle in a higher order field,

$$m_b\, \ddot{x}^\mu = eF^\mu{}_\nu \dot{x}^\nu \frac{2}{3}\, e^2\, a^2(s)\, \dot{x}^\mu - \frac{2}{3}\, e^2\, a^2(s)\, \frac{1}{\dot{\epsilon}}\, \ddot{x}^\mu. \tag{5.4}$$

There is a re-normalization of the bare mass,

$$m_b + \frac{2}{3}\, e^2\, a^2(s)\, \frac{1}{\dot{\epsilon}} = m, \quad \dot{\epsilon} \neq 0. \tag{5.5}$$

Therefore, if $\dot{\epsilon} \neq 0$ we find the differential equation

$$m\, \ddot{x}^\mu = e\, F^\mu{}_\nu\, \dot{x}^\nu - \frac{2}{3}\, e^2\, \eta_{\rho\sigma}\, \ddot{x}^\rho \ddot{x}^\sigma\, \dot{x}^\mu, \quad F^\mu{}_\nu = g^{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\nu}. \tag{5.6}$$

The derivation of this differential equation is not valid when $\dot{\epsilon} = 0$. We will consider this case separately.

---

[3] Strictly speaking, this not necessary. One can do the same analysis without mention Lorentz-Dirac equation and just follow Rohrlich's argument for the above general expression.

5.1 General properties of the equation (5.6)

Let us consider normal coordinates for η and assume that we move on the domain of validity of the differential equation (5.6). Let us multiply equation (5.6) by itself and contract using the metric g. Using the kinetic relations one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} m^2\, a^2(1-\epsilon) &= e^2\, F^{\mu}{}_{\rho}\, \dot{x}^{\rho} F^{\nu}{}_{\lambda} \dot{x}^{\lambda}\, (1-\epsilon)\eta_{\mu\nu} + (\frac{2}{3}\, e^2)^2\, (a^2)^2\, \dot{x}^{\mu}\dot{x}^{\nu}\, g_{\mu\nu} \\ &- 2e\frac{2}{3}e^2\, F^{\mu}{}_{\rho}\dot{x}^{\rho}\dot{x}^{\nu}(1-\epsilon)\eta_{\mu\nu} \\ &= (1-\epsilon)\, e^2\, F^{\mu}{}_{\rho}\, \dot{x}^{\rho} F^{\nu}{}_{\lambda}\dot{x}^{\lambda}\, \eta_{\mu\nu} + (1-\epsilon)(\frac{2}{3}\, e^2)^2\, (a^2)^2 \\ &- 2e\frac{2}{3}e^2\, F^{\mu}{}_{\rho}\dot{x}^{\rho}\dot{x}^{\nu}(1-\epsilon)\eta_{\mu\nu} \\ &= (1-\epsilon)\big(F_L^2 - (\frac{2}{3}\, e^2)^2\, (a^2)^2\big). \end{aligned}$$

with the magnitude of the Lorentz force F_L given by

$$F_L^2 = e^2\, F_{\nu}{}^{\mu} F_{\mu}{}^{\rho}\, \dot{x}^{\nu}\, \dot{x}^{\lambda}\eta_{\lambda\rho}.$$

Proposition 5.1 *For any curve solution of equation* (5.6) *one has the following consequences,*

1. *The Lorentz force must be spacelike or zero,*

$$F_L^2 \geq 0.$$

2. *In the case the Lorentz force is zero, the magnitude of the acceleration is zero,*

$$F_L^2 = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad a^2 = 0.$$

Proof. One can re-write the above expression as

$$F_L^2 = (\frac{2}{3}\, e^2)^2\, (a^2)^2 + m^2 a^2, \tag{5.7}$$

from which follows the result. □

Therefore, one has the expression for u

$$u = -m^6 C^{-1}\Big(1 - |F_L|\sqrt{\frac{1}{F_L^2} + C}\Big), \quad C = \frac{(\frac{4}{3}e^2)^2}{m^4}. \tag{5.8}$$

Using this formula, one easily proves the following

Proposition 5.2 *The differential equation* (5.6) *has the following properties:*

1. *If there is not external electromagnetic field, the* 4*-acceleration is zero.*
2. *If there is an external electromagnetic field, the acceleration is bounded by the strength of the corresponding Lorentz force.*

3. For solutions of the equation (5.6) *it holds the following asymptotic condition,*

$$\lim_{\tau\to\infty} F_L(\tau) = 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{\tau\to\infty} a^2 = 0. \tag{5.9}$$

Proof. From 1 to 3 are consequence of eq. (5.8); the last consequence is by the hypothesis of maximal acceleration and the above properties. □

Note that a similar condition for the acceleration follows as soon as the external forces are zero $F^{ext} = 0$.

The differential equation (5.6) is an *implicit differential equation.* One can solve $\ddot{x}$ in the left side under some approximations. Equation (5.6) can be read as

$$\ddot{x}^\rho(m\delta^\mu{}_\rho + \frac{2e^2}{3}\ddot{x}_\rho\,\dot{x}^\mu) = eF^\mu{}_\nu\dot{x}^\nu.$$

In particular, if the radiation reaction term $\frac{2}{3}e^2a^2x^\mu$ is small compared with the Lorentz force term one can treat it as a perturbation. As a first approximation one can consider $\ddot{x}^\mu = \frac{e}{m}F^\mu_\rho\dot{x}^\rho$ and substitute in the equation (5.6). The inversion of the operator

$$M^\mu{}_\rho = (m\delta^\mu{}_\rho + \frac{2e^3}{3m}\dot{x}^\mu F_{\rho\lambda}\dot{x}^\lambda) \tag{5.10}$$

is given by an expression in local coordinates as

$$O^\mu_\kappa = \frac{1}{m}\delta^\mu{}_\kappa - \frac{2e^3}{3m^2}\dot{x}^\mu F_{\kappa\rho}\dot{x}^\rho. \tag{5.11}$$

Therefore, the second derivative can be isolated,

$$\ddot{x}^\mu = e\dot{x}^\nu F^\rho{}_\nu\,\big(\frac{1}{m}\delta^\mu{}_\rho - \frac{2e^2}{3m^2}\,\dot{x}^\mu F_{\rho\sigma}\dot{x}^\sigma\big) \tag{5.12}$$

This equation can be read as an approximation for (5.6). Once this has the approximate isolated equation (5.12), one can use existence and uniqueness theorem of ODE theory [6] to state the following result,

Proposition 5.3 *Given two solutions z_1 and z_2 of the equation of* (5.6) *with the same initial conditions. Then they differ by a smooth function on the radiation reaction term $\frac{2}{3}e^2\,a^2$.*

Proof. Each of the solutions of equation (5.6) can be approximated by a solution of equation of motion (5.12) and the difference is given as power on $\frac{2}{3}e^2\,a^2$, starting at least at power 1. By uniqueness of solutions of ODE [6], given a fixed initial conditions $(x^\mu(\tau_0), \dot{x}^\mu(\tau_0)$ is unique (in a finite interval of time τ). Therefore, in such interval the two solutions differ by a polynomial on $\frac{2}{3}e^2\,a^2$. □

Note that equation (5.12) can be written as a geodesic equation for a suitable connection coefficients. In a local holonomic frame $\{\partial_\mu, \frac{\partial}{\partial\dot{x}^\mu}, \frac{\partial}{\partial\ddot{x}^\mu}\}^3_{\mu=0}$ of $TJ^2_0(M)$, let us consider a connection on $TJ^2_0(M)$ with connection coefficients

$${}^2\Gamma^\mu{}_{\nu\sigma} := (\,{}^2\nabla_{\partial_\nu}\partial_\sigma)^\mu = \gamma^\mu{}_{\nu\sigma} + K^\mu{}_{\nu\sigma} + L^\mu{}_{\nu\sigma}, \tag{5.13}$$

with the tensors K and L defined by

$$K^{\mu}{}_{\nu\sigma} = -\frac{2}{2m}\Big(F^{\mu}{}_{\nu}\eta_{\sigma\lambda}\dot{x}^{\lambda} + F^{\mu}{}_{\nu}\eta_{\sigma\lambda}\dot{x}^{\lambda}\Big)\frac{1}{1-\frac{\eta_{\kappa\xi}\ddot{x}^{\kappa}\ddot{x}^{\xi}}{A^2_{max}}}, \tag{5.14}$$

$$L^{\mu}{}_{\nu\sigma} = -\frac{2e^3}{3m^2}\,F_{\rho\sigma}F^{\rho}{}_{\nu}\,\dot{x}^{\mu}. \tag{5.15}$$

All the rest of connection coefficients of ${}^2\nabla$ are put equal to zero. Therefore,

Proposition 5.4 *The coefficients* ${}^2\nabla$ *define a covariant derivative on* $J^2_0(M)$ *and the geodesics*

$${}^2\nabla_{\dot{x}}\dot{x} = 0 \tag{5.16}$$

coincide with the solutions of the equation (5.12).

The geometric interpretation of equation (5.12) opens the possibility to investigate approximations to (5.6) using geometric and kinetic methods (see for instance [12]).

5.2 Covariant uniform acceleration and mass renormalization

The case of point charged particles such that $\dot{\epsilon} = 0$ requires special care. Our definition of covariant uniform acceleration is

Definition 5.5 *Covariant uniform acceleration curve is curve on the spacetime* $x : I \to M$ *such that along it the following constrain holds,*

$$\dot{\epsilon} = \frac{d}{d\tau}\frac{\eta(D_{\dot{x}}\dot{x}, D_{\dot{x}}\dot{x})}{A^2_{max}} = 0. \tag{5.17}$$

This definition of covariant uniform motion is general covariant. Therefore, in order to make some computations we can adopt normal coordinates associated to η. Then the kinetic relation (3.18) holds. Therefore, although the four-acceleration is in general not orthogonal by g to the four velocity vector field, in the case of covariant uniform accelerated motion one has that $g(\ddot{x}, \dot{x}) = 0$. By relation (3.19),

$$g(\ddot{x}, \ddot{x}) = -g(\dddot{x}, \dot{x}).$$

Therefore, $\ddot{x}$ is necessarily lightlike vector with the metric g, since the right hand side is necessarily zero,

$$g(\dddot{x}, \dot{x}) = (1-\epsilon)\,\eta(\dddot{x}, \dot{x}) = (1-\epsilon)\dot{\epsilon} = 0.$$

The implication of this fact is that the four-acceleration cannot be constant in a normal coordinate system, except for $a^2 = 0$.

For maximal accelerations such that $A^2_{\mathrm{max}} \leq \infty$ our definition coincides with the standard definition of covariant uniform acceleration appearing in text books

[16]. It also implies the same notion of *covariant uniform motion* as solution of an homogeneous differential equation [10].

Equation (5.6) fails to describe covariant uniform motion. The reason for this is that in order to apply the mass renormalization procedure (5.5) and the perturbation scheme, one requires that $\dot{\epsilon} \neq 0$. Therefore, one needs to consider separately the case $\dot{\epsilon} = 0$. Let us consider the following combination of equations (5.5) and (5.4)

$$m_b\,\dot{x}^\mu = -\,eF^\mu{}_\nu\dot{x}^\nu + \tilde{\beta}_2\ddot{x}^\mu.$$

Therefore, one has the following differential equation for covariant uniform motion,

$$m\ddot{x}^\mu = e\ F^\mu{}_\nu\dot{x}^\nu, \quad m = m_b(\tau) - \tilde{\beta}_2(\tau). \tag{5.18}$$

For covariant uniform acceleration one can see easily that

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}\Big(g_{\mu\rho}\Big) = 0 \tag{5.19}$$

and this relation implies

$$0 = \frac{d}{d\tau}a^2 = \frac{d}{d\tau}\Big(\frac{F_L^2}{m}\Big). \tag{5.20}$$

For trajectories with acceleration below the maximal acceleration A_{max}, our definition of covariant uniform motion of a point charged particle coincides with the one in [10]. In the case of η is the Minkowski metric and covariance is respect to Poincaré's group. However, we will see below that this notion of uniform acceleration is highly unstable under perturbations. Note than at the times when τ_0 $\dot{\epsilon}(\tau) = 0$, τ_0 must be an isolated critical point of a^2. This means that one can extend the solutions of (5.6) to such isolated points by continuity.

5.3 Absence of run away and pre-accelerated solutions for the equation (5.6)

Let us adopt a normal coordinate system associated to η. We discuss the absence of non-physical solutions on (5.6). Run away solutions are solutions that have the following peculiar behavior: even if the external forces have a compact domain in the spacetime, the charged particle follows accelerating for ever. This is a pathological behavior of the Lorentz-Dirac equation. However, since the the asymptotic condition3 holds for equation (5.6), we shoe that our model is free of such problems.

In order to investigate the existence of pre-accelerated solutions for the equation (5.6), let us consider the example of a pulsed electric field [8]. For an external electric pulse $\vec{E} = (\kappa\,\delta(\tau), 0, 0)$, the equation (5.6) in the non-relativistic limit reduces to

$$a\ddot{x}^0 = \kappa\,\delta(\tau), \quad a = \frac{3m}{2e^2}. \tag{5.21}$$

The solution of this equation is the *Heaviside's function*,

$$a\dot{x} = \kappa,\ \tau \geq 0, \tag{5.22}$$

$$0,\ \tau < 0. \tag{5.23}$$

This is not a pre-accelerated solution. In order to prove uniqueness on the space of smooth functions, let us consider two solutions to equation (5.21), then they must differ by an affine function. This shows that in the non-relativistic limit the equation (5.6) does not have pre-accelerated solutions of Dirac's type. Therefore, since the theory is Lorentz covariant, the equation (5.6) does not have pre-accelerated solutions of Dirac's type.

6 Discussion

Combining a new notion of generalized higher order electromagnetic field with maximal acceleration geometry, we have obtained the differential equation (5.6) as a description of the dynamics of a point charged particle that takes into account the radiation reaction. Equation (5.6) is free of run-away solutions and pre-accelerate solutions of Dirac's type. The hypothesis of maximal acceleration is necessary in order to keep under control the value of the acceleration. It also provides a book keeping parameter that allows to describe our perturbation theory. The hypothesis of generalized higher order fields is necessary too, since it provides the degree of freedom that we need in order to eliminate the Schott term in the Lorentz-Dirac equation.

The use of generalized higher order fields for $k = 3$ and maximal acceleration above do not fix completely the dynamics of a point charged particle. However, the requirements that the dynamics is compatible with the covariant power radiation law (4.5), that the differential equation is second order, and the notion of field is extended minimally, completely fix the dynamics of point charged particles, except for covariant uniform motion.

This different behavior of the uniform motion is because the method that we follow breaks down when $\dot{\epsilon} = 0$. For covariant uniform acceleration one needs to consider a different differential equation (in particular, following the same principles one arrives to (5.18)) than equation (5.6). For a covariant uniform motion of a point charged particle, one needs to provide not only the initial conditions $(x^{\mu}(\tau_0), \dot{x}^{\mu}(\tau_0))$, but also to fix in anticipation the external field, such that along the world-line of the charged particle the total field is constant. Since the initial conditions $(x^{\mu}(\tau_0), \dot{x}^{\mu}(\tau_0))$ can be used for both differential equations with in general different solutions, we should drop out one of them.

The condition of covariant uniform motion requires of infinite precision on the determination of the external electromagnetic field in such a way that it compensates the radiation reaction field. Such a fine tuning is highly unstable, and the point charged particle will not behave uniformly accelerated under small fluctuations in the external field. Therefore, the stable description for the dynamics of a point charged particle is given by equation (5.6) only. This argument and the assumption of requiring an *stable model*[4] force us to abandon the notion of covariant uniform acceleration as a possible physical dynamics for point charged particles. Instead, it seems natural to consider the differential equation (5.12) as an approximation to the motion of a point charge, when the radiation reaction is negligible compared with the external field. For instance, the difference on the solutions of (5.12) and

---

[4] By a stable model we mean a weakly structurally stable [1].

(5.18) is given by the tensor $L^{\mu}{}_{\nu\sigma}$, which is by hypothesis in the approximation (5.6) $\cong$ (5.12), a small perturbation. Therefore, for short time evolution and for initial conditions such that the radiation reaction is small compared with the total field Lorentz force, the difference on the solutions of the two equations is small and therefore, the difference between the solutions of (5.6) and (5.18) is also small.

Note that the generalized fields are assumed to be well defined on the trajectory of the probing particle. This is contradictory with the fact that electromagnetic fields are infinite over a point charge particle trajectory, due to the Coulomb singularity. In order to consider this problem, we have adopted the method of mass renormalization. This method allows to consider well defined fields over any kind of trajectory, without the singularity of Coulomb type, which is renormalized. Therefore, all the fields and considerations in this model concern finite fields in the whole spacetime manifold M.

References

[1] , V. I. Arnold, *Geometric methods in the theory of differential equations*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 250. Springer-Verlag (1988).

[2] F. Bopp, *Eine lineare theorie des Elektrons*, Ann. Physik 38, 345-384 (1940).

[3] D. A. Burton, J. Gratus, R. W. Tucker, *Asymptotic analysis of ultra-relativistic charge*, Annals of Physics 322, 599-630 (2007).

[4] E. Cartan, *Geometry of Riemannian Spaces*, Math Sci Press, Massachusetts (1983).

[5] E. R. Caianiello,*Quantum and Other Physics as Systems Theory*, La Rivista del Nuovo Cimento, **Vol 15, Nr 4** (1992).

[6] C. Chicone, *Ordinary Differential Equations with Applications*, 2nd. Edition, Springer-Verlag (2006).

[7] M. de León, P. R. Rodrigues, *Generalized Classical Mechanics and Field Theory*, North-Holland Mathematical Studies, 112 (1986).

[8] P. A. M. Dirac, *Classical Theory of Radiating Electrons*, Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, vol: A137, 148-169 (1938).

[9] R. F. Feynman, J. A. Wheeler, *Interaction with absorvers as the mechanism of radiation*, Rev. Mod. Phys, **17**, 157.

[10] Y. Friedman, T. Scarr, *Covariant uniform acceleration*, arXiv:1105.0492v2 [physics.gen-ph].

[11] R. Gallego Torromé, *On a covariant version of Caianiello's Model*, Gen.Rel.Grav. **39**:1833-1845 (2007).

[12] R. Gallego Torromé, *Averaged dynamics associated with the Lorentz force equation*, arXiv: 0905.2060.

[13] R. Gallego Torromé, *Geometry of generalized higher order fields. Applications to classical linear electrodynamics*, work in progress.

[14] S. E. Gralla, A. I. Harte, R. M. Wald, *A Rigorous Derivation of Electromagnetic Self-force*, Phys. Rev. D 80: 024031,2009.

[15] J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, Third ed. Wiley (1998).

[16] L. D. Landau, E. M. Lifshitz, *The Classical Theory of Fields*, Pergamon, Oxford (1962).

[17] B. Podolski, *A Generalized Electrodynamics I*, Nom-quantum, Phys. Rev. 62, 68-71 (1942).

[18] E. Poisson, *An introduction to the Lorentz-Dirac equation*, arXiv:gr-qc/9912045.

[19] F. Rohrlich, *The dynamics of a charge sphere of the electron*, Am. J. Phys. Vol. **65**, 1051-1056 (1997).

[20] H. Spohn, *The critical manifold of the Lorentz-Dirac equation*, Europhys. Lett. **50**, 287 (2000).

[21] H. Spohn, *Dynamics of Charged Particles and its Radiation Field*, arxiv:math-ph/ 9908024.

[22] A. D. Yaghjian, *Relativistic Dynamics of a Charged Sphere*, Lecture Notes in Physics m11 Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1992).